Gastrointestinal helminth parasitism in fruit-eating bats (Chiroptera, Stenodermatinae) from western Amazonian Brazil
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Abstract: In this paper we report endoparasites from a sample of 50 stenodermatine bats collected mainly over lick sites at the Parque Nacional da Serra do Divisor, westernmost extremity of Amazonian Brazil. Four helminth species were recovered (Hasstilesia tricolor, Vampirolepis elongatus, Cheiropteronema globocephala, and Capillaria sp.), most of them from small intestines. Overall helminth prevalence achieved 26% (13/50) and the more prevalent species was H. tricolor (20%). Previously unknown in bats and reported for the first time in Brazil, this digenetic trematode was found in seven of the 18 bat species studied here. We argue that the drinking behaviour of stenodermatines at lick sites may be implicated in the dissemination of helminth infection among these bats.
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Bats are known to harbor a wide variety of endoparasites, including many protozoans, trematodes, cestodes and nematodes (Zeledon and Vieto 1957, Agrawal 1967, Ubelaker 1970, Ubelaker et al. 1977, Cuartas-Calle and Muñoz-Arango 1999). Among these, digeneans (trematodes) constitute the most diversified group (Ubelaker 1970, Coggins 1988) and have been found mainly in the bat’s digestive tract, though other body cavities may also be suitable (Coggins 1988). Their prevalence and incidence seem to be strongly affected by the feeding habits and foraging strategies of the bats (Marshall and Miller 1979, Coggins 1988). Most digeneans have been found in insect-eating forms that are more prone to ingest an infected insect (serving as intermediate host) than is a fruit or nectar-feeding bat (Ubelaker 1970, Coggins 1988, García-Vargas et al. 1996). Few life cycles of bat digeneans, however, have been elucidated until now (Coggins 1988), and additional knowledge on the feeding ecology of bats may bring important insights on the relationships between these groups (Ubelaker 1970).

The purpose of this paper is to report helminth prevalence and intensity data for a collection of stenodermatine fruit-eating bats obtained during a short-term survey conducted at the Parque Nacional da Serra do Divisor (PNSD), in the Amazonian Brazil (Nogueira et al. 1999). The great majority of the voucher bats available for this study were collected over licks (Nogueira et al. 1998), the use of which has been reported to be potentially implicated in the acquisition of parasites (Klaus and Schmid 1998).
MATERIALS AND METHODS

PNSD is situated in northwestern Acre state, forming the westernmost extremity of Amazonian Brazil, along the Peruvian border. It encompasses approximately 605,000 ha, and comprises several rainforest vegetational physiognomies (Anonymous 1977). Annual rainfall at PNSD averages ca. 2300 mm, with a drier season extending from June to August (Anonymous 1977). Total precipitation in these less rainy months oscillates between 200 and 250 mm. Mean annual temperature at the lowlands where we conducted the survey (ca. 250 m a.s.l.) is 24°C (Anonymous 1977).

Bats were sampled from 8-28 July 1996 in northern PNSD, along the Rio Moa, and 11-29 March 1997 in southern PNSD, along the upper Rio Jurú. From a total of 50 voucher specimens of Stenodermatinae available for the present study, 42 were collected in nets set across lick sites and the remainder came from a trail in primary forest (one bat) and from cultivated clearings adjacent to houses of riverine natives (seven bats). Licks, as referred here (review in Klaus and Schmid 1998), are puddle areas with pools of variable size. They were classified as natural, when surrounded by dense vegetation (sites I and II), and anthropogenic, when located close to houses of riverine natives (site III). In this latter case, dense vegetation was no further than 20 m from the nets. Licks in primary forests were larger puddle areas (ca. 25 m in diameter in site II) with several pools of variable size. Site I (7º27´18” S and 73º10´55” W) was located at the foot of the Serra da Jaquirana and site II (7º27´18” S and 73º46´24” W) in the hilly forest associated to Igarapé Ramon. Rainwater, spring water, adjacent water courses and, in the case of the anthropogenic lick, water discarded by natives, were the apparent sources that maintained the water availability in lick sites.

Procedures to which bats were submitted before removal and examination of the gastrointestinal tract included fixation in 10% formalin and preservation in 70% alcohol. Stomachs and intestines were slit longitudinally and examined separately under a dissecting microscope. Helminths were recovered from stomachs and intestines with the aid of a fine forceps or by using a spatula. Prior to identification, they were counted (cestodes were carefully isolated and counted one by one), stained with alcoholic chlorhydric carmine, and mounted in Canada balsam. Voucher specimens are deposited at the Instituto de Biologia da Universidade Federal Rural do Rio de Janeiro (IB-UFRRJ) under the following collection numbers: SF/UFRRJ 962a-f, 963a-d, 964-71, 972a-e, 973a-d and 974. Except for a non-infected subadult Sturnira magna de la Torre, 1966, all voucher bats were considered adults by completely fused phalangeal epiphyses. This material is also deposited at the IB-UFRRJ. Terminology used in this paper is in accordance with Bush et al. (1997). These authors defined prevalence as “the number of hosts infected with one or more individuals of a particular parasite species (or taxonomic group) divided by the number of hosts examined for that parasite species”. Intensity (of infection) was defined as “the number of individuals of a particular parasite species in a single infected host”.

RESULTS

Overall helminth prevalence for the stenodermatine bats examined here was 26% (13/50). All parasited bats were collected over licks, and considering only our sample at these sites helminth prevalence increases to 31% (13/42). The 13 infected specimens are representative of ten bat species, which comprises more than 50% of the 18 taxons included in the analysis. We found no sex-related difference in the proportions of infected (3M/10F) and non-infected bats (15M/22F) (Fischer’s
Exact Test, p > 0.05). Data on the prevalence and intensity of parasitism for each bat species are summarized in Table 1.

The helminth with higher prevalence in our sample was Hasstilesia tricolor (Stile and Hassal, 1894) (Trematoda, Hasstilesiidae) (20%, 10/50). Ten of the 13 infected bats harbored this trematode that was responsible for the parasitism in seven (39%) of the 18 species included in the analysis. There was no significant correlation between host size, as indicated by the forearm length (45.15 mm ± 14.4 SD, 30.1-73.8, n = 10) and intensity of parasitism by H. tricolor (Spearman, p > 0.05). Other three helminths were recovered, but each one from a single host. These were Vampirolepis elongatus Arandas Rego, 1962 (Cestoda, Hymenolepididae), Cheiropteronomina gilobocephala Sandground, 1929 (Nematoda, Trichostrongylidae), and Capillaria sp. (Nematoda, Trichuridae). We were unable to assign this latter nematode to species because the single specimen available was in larval stage and had the posterior extremity of its body accidentally mutilated during the removal process (it was adhered to the gastric mucosa of the host).

If our samples at lick sites are considered separately, the resultant prevalences are as follows: site I - 42% (8/19), site II - 22% (2/9), and site III - 14% (2/14). Comparisons of the frequency distribution of infected and non-infected bats at these sites indicated no significant differences (Fischer’s Exact Test, p > 0.05). H. tricolor was the only parasite recovered from bats in all lick sites, achieving a prevalence of 37% (7/19) in site I. Non-infected bat species, with respective number of individuals examined and sites of capture, are as follows: Sturnira lilium (Geoffroy, 1810) - 3/III; Sturnira tildae de la Torre, 1959 - 1/non-lick site; Chiuroderma villosum Peters, 1860 - 3/III; Platyrrhinus brachypephalus (Rouk and Carter, 1972) - 1/III, 2/non-lick sites; Platyrrhinus infuscus (Peters, 1880) - 3/I; Uroderma bilobatum Peters, 1866 - 2/II, 1/non-lick site; Uroderma magnirostrum Davis, 1968 - 2/non-lick sites; and Vampyressa thyone Thomas 1909 (Wagner, 1843) - 1/I, 2/III.

| TABLE 1 |
| Prevalence and intensity of helminths found in stenodermatine bats from western Amazonian Brazil, and lick sites from which infected bats were captured |

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Helminth</th>
<th>Host</th>
<th>Prevalence</th>
<th>Lick*</th>
<th>Intensity</th>
<th>Site of infection</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>Trematode</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hasstilesia tricolor</td>
<td>Artibeus anderseni</td>
<td>1/3 (33%)</td>
<td>I</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>Small intestine</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Artibeus lituratus</td>
<td>1/3 (33%)</td>
<td>III</td>
<td>1</td>
<td></td>
<td>Small intestine</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Artibeus obscurus</td>
<td>1/3 (33%)</td>
<td>I</td>
<td>6</td>
<td></td>
<td>Small intestine</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Chiuroderma trinitatum</td>
<td>1/3 (33%)</td>
<td>I</td>
<td>1</td>
<td></td>
<td>Small intestine</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mesophylla macconnelli</td>
<td>2/3 (66%)</td>
<td>I</td>
<td>3, 4</td>
<td></td>
<td>Small intestine</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Vampyriscus bidens</td>
<td>2/2 (100%)</td>
<td>I, II</td>
<td>1, 3</td>
<td></td>
<td>Small intestine</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Vampyrodes caraccioli</td>
<td>2/3 (66%)</td>
<td>I</td>
<td>4, 5</td>
<td></td>
<td>Small intestine</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Cestoda</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Vampirolepis elongatus</td>
<td>Platyrrhinus helleri</td>
<td>1/3 (33%)</td>
<td>III</td>
<td>71</td>
<td>Small intestine</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Nematoda</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Capillaria sp.</td>
<td>Sturnira magna</td>
<td>1/3 (33%)</td>
<td>I</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>Stomach</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cheiropteronomina gilobocephala</td>
<td>Artibeus planirostris</td>
<td>1/3 (33%)</td>
<td>II</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>Stomach, small intestine</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

* I and II – natural licks; III – anthropogenic lick.
DISCUSSION

Except for *Artibeus planirostris* (Spix, 1823), which some authors consider a junior synonym of *Artibeus jamaicensis* Leach, 1821 (Marques-Aguiar 1994, Simmons and Voss 1998) and, therefore, could be regarded as an already known host of *C. globocephala* (Cuartas-Calle and Muñoz-Arango 1999), all other host records reported here are new. *V. elongatus* has also been found in *A. jamaicensis* (García-Vargas et al. 1996) but in a much lower intensity (3 versus 71) than we found in *Platyrrhinus helleri* (Peters, 1866). It is the first time that a Hasstilesiidae trematode is recovered from bats and it is also the first record of this family in Brazil. Previously, *H. tricolor* was known only from some Leporidae (*Lepus* spp. and *Sylvilagus* spp.) and from *Vulpes fulva* (Linnaeus, 1758), all from North America (Rowan 1955, Yamaguti 1971).

Differing from other bat trematodes, *H. tricolor* has a life cycle that is currently known to include only two hosts (Robinson 1953, Rowan 1955). Adult forms live in the intestine of the definitive host (e.g. rabbit) where eggs are deposited. These eggs are eliminated in the feces and ingested by a suitable land snail (intermediate host) where it passes through larval stages (miracidium, sporocyst, cercariea, and metacercariae). The cycle is completed when a definitive host ingests an infected snail and the metacercariae develops to mature flukes. As fruit-eating bats are unlikely to be frequent consumers of snails and the high prevalence of *H. tricolor* reported here does not seem to be compatible with accidental infection, we suggest that alternative contamination routes may be occurring in the studied area. Robinson (1953) mentioned the possibility that two snails from the same species could act as first and second intermediate hosts of *H. tricolor*. Under this situation, a bat drinking at the lick water could ingest a free-swimming cercariea and become infected.

Acquisition of parasites is a potential cost associated to the use of licks and is related to the high accumulation of feces and urine that may occur at these sites (Klaus and Schmid 1998). Particularly in the Neotropics, however, scarce information is available about lick use (Emmons and Stark 1979), and its ecological implications remain unknown. Current evidence suggests that stenodermatines may be frequent visitors at these sites (Tuttle 1974, Ascorra and Wilson 1992, Nogueira et al. 1998), which is particularly relevant if we consider infection by digeneans. As reported by Pérez-Ponce de León (2001), the general pattern of digenean life cycles suggests that, the more contact the host has with water, the greater the probability of infection by these helminths. The drinking behaviour of stenodermatines at lick sites put them in contact with a resource probably shared with a diversified faunal assemblage. Although we have no evidence about leporids, local hunters from PNSD reported that several free-ranging mammals (e.g. tapis, peccaries, deer) visit the natural licks studied here, and domestic animals such as pigs and chickens were seen at the anthropogenic site.

Although it has long been considered that frugivorous bats supplement their diet with protein from insects (Gardner 1977, Thomas 1984), which could explain infection by helminths with insects as intermediate hosts, it may not be applicable to all species and in all places. The increasing number of studies showing that fruit-eating bats are able to meet all their protein requirements feeding solely on fruits (Delorme and Thomas 1999, Herrera et al. 2001a, 2001b) reinforces the importance of investigations on alternative hypothesis for helminth infections in these bats. The possible implication of lick use in the acquisition of parasites like *H. tricolor* can be further clarified not only by the examination of a larger series of hosts, but also by surveying the potential biological content of lick water.
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